



मामला क्रमांक M.A.(C).No. 682/2007 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>M.A.(C.) NO. 682 OF 2007</u> <u>APPELLANT</u> <u>CLAIMANT</u> <u>RESPONDENTS</u> <u>NON-APPLICANTS</u>	Akhil Tandi, S/o Ludaro Tandi, aged about 35 years, r/o near of Kota School, P.S. Amanaka, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.) <u>Versus</u> 1. Mesors Jayaka Auto Mobiles, through Managing Director, Me. Jayaka Automobiles, G.E. Road, Tatibandh, Transport Nagar, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.) 2. M/s. The New India Insurance Co. Ltd. Through - Divisional Manager, Divisional Office, Kachahari Chowk, Jail Road, Raipur (C.G.) ----- SB: HON'BLE SHRI DHIRENDRA MISHRA, J. ----- <u>28.06.2007</u> Shri S.C. Verma, counsel for the appellant. Heard on I.A. No. 1, application for condonation of delay in filing the appeal as the instant appeal has been filed with a delay of 162 days as per office note dated 9-4-2007. The reason assigned for filing this appeal with delay that the appellant was not aware about the period of limitation for filing appeal and he came to know about limitation only when he contacted his advocate is not sufficient. Even other wise, from perusal of para 5 of the impugned award it would be evident that

M.A.(C).No. 682/2007

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	the appellant has failed to adduce any evidence to the effect that the appellant was employee of the Jayaka Auto Mobiles, Raipur as claimed by him in the claim petition and for this reason, the Commissioner, Workmen Compensation has rejected the claim of the appellant with a finding that the appellant has failed to prove that he sustained any permanent disability during the course of his employment. There is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned award. The appeal is dismissed being barred by time as well as on merit.	Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge